

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,217	03/18/2004	Krystal Wynona	1636	5860	
75	90 04/14/2006		EXAMINER		
Mark C. Jacobs, Esq. 3033 El Camino Avenue			MAI, TRI M		
Sacramento, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727	3727	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/803,217	WYNONA, KRYSTAL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tri M. Mai	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		-				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure	ority documents have been receiv					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/803,217

Art Unit: 3727

à.

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the adjustable handle in claim 4, the mesh in claim 6 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. the specification fails to show the handle being size adjustable. See drawing objection above.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,217

Art Unit: 3727

3. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhn (D387200) in view of Petto (D394748), and further in view of Davis (D260325). Kuhn teaches a purse having front face panel, and a rear surface panel each having a substrate. The purse also having two side panels and a bottom panel which together form a generally U-shaped section as claimed. Kuhn meets all claimed limitations except for the record jacket and a rear surface panel formed of a vinyl record. Petto teaches that it is known in the art to provide a jacket with indicia. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide jacket with indicia as taught by Petto to decorate the handbag. With respect to the vinyl record, Davis teaches that it is known in the art to provide a disc like object attached to the wall. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a disc like object like a vinyl record, attach to the other wall to provide added decoration.

Page 3

Furthermore, it is noted that the panel having the record jacket and the vinyl record are matter relating to ornamentation only which have no mechanical function cannot be relied upon to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. In re Seid, 161 F.2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947).

Regarding claims 2, 8 and 10, note the bend and creases or fold lines at the attachment of the U-shaped portion to the walls of the bags.

- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Kuhn rejection, and further in view of Gay (1361761). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide adjustable handle as taught by Gay to enable one to carry the purse easily.
- 5. Claims 2, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Kuhn rejection as set forth in paragraph 3, and further in view of Trager (1768496). It would

Application/Control Number: 10/803,217

Art Unit: 3727

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide bends and creases, and/or fold lines to collapse the purse easily.

Regarding claim 7, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the bag from leather as taught by Trager (col. 1, ln. 50) to provide an alternative type of material for the bag.

6. Claims 5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Kuhn rejection in paragraph 3, and further in view of White (2922451). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a transparent layer in Kuhn as taught by White, portion 20, to protect the bag.

Regarding claim 11, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a liner in Kuhn as taught by White, portion 17, to provide added protection.

- 7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Kuhn rejection, and further in view of either Fulton (2259204) or Worcester (3415300). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a key holder as taught by either Fulton or Worcester to keep the keys secured.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571)272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,217 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tri M. Mai 1 (N Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727